



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,028	02/25/2004	Michael Gerard Hinchey	GSC 14, 389-1	7158
21872 7590 11/18/2008 NASA GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER 8800 GREENBELT ROAD, MAIL CODE 140.1 GREENBELT, MD 20771				
EXAMINER DENG, ANNA CHEN				
ART UNIT 2191		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 11/18/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Milelene.Gunyon-1@nasa.gov

Lucy.A.Stefanelli@nasa.gov

Bryan.A.Geurts@nasa.gov

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/789,028	HINCHEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANNA DENG	2191	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) ANNA DENG. (3) Michael Hinchey (Applicant).
 (2) Heather Goo (Reg #. 37,336). (4) James Rash (Applicant).

Date of Interview: 10 November 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Garland, and Bowman-Amuah.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants discussed the differences between the pending application and Garland and Bowman-Amuah. Examiner suggested applicants to include these differences in the pending independent claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Wei Y Zhen/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191